1	Susan G. Boswell, Esq. (AZ Bar #4791) Streich Lang A Professional Association Firm State Bar No. 00126000 One South Church Avenue Suite 1700 Tucson, Arizona 85701-1621 Telephone (520)770-8700 Facsimile (520)770-2222					
3						
4						
5						
6	E-mail: sboswell@sllaw.com					
7	Attorneys for WSG Development Company, Inc. and Lebcon Associates					
8	40 N. Central Avenue, Suite 2500 Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Telephone (602) 258-2728					
9						
10						
11	Attorney for David and Becky Smith					
12						
13	IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT					
14	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA					
15						
16	In re:	In Proceedings Under Chapter 11				
17	BCE WEST, L.P., et al.,	Case Nos. 98-12547 through				
18	Debtors.	98-12570-ECF-CGC				
19	EID: 38-3196719	Jointly Administered				
20		JOINT PRETRIAL STATEMENT AND ORDER				
21						
22	Pursuant to Rule 16, Fed. R. Civ. P., Rule 7016, Fed. R. Bankr. P., and order					
23	of this Court, WSG Development Company ("WSG"), Lebcon Associates ("Lebcon") and					
24	David R. and Becky C. Smith (collectively, "Smith") by and through their respective					
25	undersigned attorneys, hereby stipulate, confirm and agree as follows and the Court hereby					
26	Orders as follows:					

TUC 65111

I. NATURE OF ACTION.

This action arises pursuant to a Motion To Determine Proper Purchaser And Price For Store #2374 (the "Motion") filed by Boston Chicken, Inc. (?Boston Chicken") and BC Real Estate Investments, Inc. (collectively, the "Debtors") arising out of the Debtors' Fifth Motion to sell real property outside of the ordinary course of business of the Debtors' business located at Docket #736) (the "Sale Motion"). One of the properties to be sold pursuant to the Sale Motion was designated and referred to as store #2374 located in Chattanooga, Tennessee (?Store #2374"). A hearing was held on the Sale Motion on April 27, 1999 at which Smith was the successful bidder. After this Court confirmed the sale of Store #2374 to Smith, Lebcon gave notice of the exercise of a right of first refusal. The Debtors thereafter filed the Motion to, among other things, determine the proper purchaser of Store #2374. The hearing on the Motion was set for June 29, 1999. Smith appeared at the hearing through counsel and Lebcon and its assignee or designee, WSG, appeared through counsel. This Court set the matter for trial for July 16, 1999 at 9:00 a.m. at which time this Court is to hear and determine the issues related to the Motion.

II. STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION.

This Court has jurisdiction of this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1334 and 157. This matter is a "core" proceeding. 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(A), (K), and (O). This matter is a contested matter pursuant to Rule 9014, Fed. R. Bankr. P.

III. STIPULATION OF PARTIES AS TO TRIAL PROCEDURES.

Based upon the nature of the action and the extent of the stipulated facts, the parties have agreed that should the testimony of a representative(s) of Lebcon be necessary, such testimony may be by telephone. Any other testimony, if any, will be by live witnesses. The parties also intend to present oral argument at the trial.

TUC 65111 -2-

1	1
1	2

IV. ADMITTED FACTS THAT REQUIRE NO PROOF.

The parties agree that the following facts are deemed relevant and are admitted and require no further proof.

- A. Lebcon is the original owner and developer of the property known as Lot 30, Hamilton Place Mall Shopping Center, Chattanooga, Tennessee (the "Property") which is the property on which Store #2374 is located.
- B. On July 6, 1993, Lebcon conveyed the Property to Donald Poole pursuant to Limited Warranty Deed dated July 6, 1993 and recorded in the Register's Office of Hamilton County, Tennessee in Book 4182 beginning at page 965 (the "Deed").¹
- C. The Deed contains, among other things, a reservation by Lebcon of a right of first refusal with respect to the Property upon the terms and conditions contained in the Deed and an easement in favor of Lebcon.
- D. Since the original conveyance of the Property from Lebcon to Poole, there have been other conveyances of the Property, including the conveyance to the Debtors (collectively, the "Subsequent Deeds").²
- E. Lebcon is the owner and developer of the Hamilton Place Mall Shopping Center which is the largest shopping mall in Tennessee (the "Mall").
- F. Lebcon continues to own property in and around the Mall, including property which is adjacent to the Property.³

TUC 65111 -3-

The Deed is stipulated into evidence as Exhibit A. <u>See</u>, Section VII below.

The Subsequent Deeds have been stipulated into evidence as Exhibits B, C and D, respectively.

The Master Site Plan showing the Mall and the property which is owned by Lebcon has been stipulated into evidence as Exhibit G.

- G. On October 11, 1996, Lebcon consented to the transfer of the Property to one of the Debtors, BC Superior, L.L.C. and, pursuant to the Deed, waived its right of first refusal with respect to that transfer.⁴
- H. Sometime prior to April 8, 1998, Boston Chicken requested Lebcon to waive its right of first refusal contained in the Deed with respect to a proposed sale of the Property.
- I. By letter dated April 8, 1998, Lebcon agreed to waive its right of first refusal as to that transaction only.⁵
- J. On October 5, 1998 (the ?Petition Date") the Debtors filed voluntary petitions for relief under 11 U.S.C. Chapter 11 in the United States Bankruptcy Court, District of Arizona.
- K. Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§1107(a) and 1108, the Debtors are operating their businesses and managing their properties as debtors in possession.
- L. On January 4, 1999 Boston Chicken, through its counsel, Brownstein Hyatt Barber & Strickland, P.C. (?Brownstein") provided notice to Lebcon of a offer on the Property (accompanied by a copy of the proposed purchase and sale agreement).⁶
- M. On January 27, 1999 Lebcon advised the Brownstein of its waiver of the right of first refusal. ⁷
- N. Smith entered into a purchase and sale agreement with Boston Chicken dated March 23, 1999 (the "Sale Agreement") for a purchase of the Property.⁸

TUC 65111 -4-

⁴ The October 11, 1996 letter is stipulated into evidence as Exhibit H.

The April 8, 1998 letter is stipulated into evidence as Exhibit I.

The January 4, 1999 letter and offer are stipulated into evidence as Exhibit J.

The January 27, 1999 letter is stipulated into evidence as Exhibit K.

The Sale Agreement is stipulated into evidence as Exhibit F.

- Ο. Boston Chicken sent to Lebcon a letter giving notice of the Sale Agreement. The letter is dated April 2, 1999. 10
 - Ρ. The April 2 letter was not accompanied by a copy of the Sale Agreement.
- Q. Lebcon requested a copy of the Sale Agreement and the copy of the Sale Agreement was received by Lebcon on April 10, 1999.
- R. On or about April 5, 1999, the Debtors filed the Sale Motion, which included a request to approve the sale to Smith along with a request to approve the sale of various other properties of the Debtors. In the Sale Motion, the Debtors moved, among other things, for authority to sell the Property pursuant to the terms of the Sale Agreement.
- S. Pursuant to the Amended Certificate Of Service filed by the Debtors on or about April 6, 1999, the Sale Motion was noticed only to the parties on the Master Service List #7 dated March 15, 1999 ("List #7").
 - Т. Neither Lebcon nor WSG is listed in List #7.
- U. Neither Lebcon nor WSG received Debtors' notice of hearing of the Sale Motion from the Debtors or any other party.
- V. On April 27, 1999 this Court held a hearing on the Sale Motion (the "Sale Hearing").
- W. Smith appeared at the Sale Hearing by telephone and the offer as contained in the Sale Agreement \$800,000 was the only bid on the Property at the Sale Hearing.
- X. On May 12, 1999, this Court entered the order, among other things, confirming the sale of the Property to Smith.

-5-TUC 65111

23

22

24

25

26

18

19

20

21

⁹ The April 2 letter is stipulated into evidence as Exhibit L.

There is some dispute regarding the date that Lebcon received the letter. The parties will either stipulate at the time of trial as to the date of receipt or will present evidence regarding the date of receipt at trial.

- Y. On May 21, 1999 Lebcon gave notice to the Debtors of its exercise of the right of first refusal.11
 - Z. On or about June 8, 1999, the Debtors filed the Motion.
 - AA. Smith intends to use the Property for a Panera Bakery and Cafe.
 - BB. There is already a bakery in the Mall, Atlanta Bread Company.
- CC. Lebcon has not transferred the right of first refusal and nor its rights as the beneficiary of the restrictions and covenants in the Deed.

CONTESTED FACTS.

These facts are considered contested because the materiality and/or relevance of the facts are in dispute. The accuracy of the facts are not in dispute and no testimony is needed to establish the validity of these facts. This Court need only rule on whether the facts are material or relevant. If the ruling is that any of the following facts are material and/or relevant, then such fact(s) may be admitted without further testimony.

- Α. Facts Deemed Material By Smith.
- 1. In the past three months, Lebcon has twice offered Smith space in the Mall for use for a Panera Bakery and Cafe.
- 2. WSG had previously contacted the Debtors about purchasing the Property.
- 3. A couple of days prior to the hearing on the Sale Motion, WSG was advised by its broker of the Sale Hearing.
- 4. At the time of the Sale Hearing, Smith was ready, willing and able to increase the offer. If need be, Smith would have bid more than \$825,000.
 - 5. Smith and the Debtors amended the Sale Agreement on June 17, 1999.

-6-TUC 65111

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

¹¹ The letter giving notice is stipulated into evidence as Exhibit M.

- 6. Smith is now ready, willing, and able to close the purchase of the Property.
- 7. Lebcon and WSG entered into an agreement whereby WSG is to acquire the Property.

B. Facts Deemed Material By Lebcon and WSG.

- The overtures to Smith regarding locating in the Mall were made by Lebcon based upon Smith acquiring the business of the current bakery tenant, Atlanta Bread Company.¹²
- 2. Each of the Subsequent Deeds have been subject to the restrictions and conditions of the Deed, including the conveyance to the Debtors.
- 3. The amendment to the Sale Agreement was not provided to Lebcon pursuant to the terms and conditions of the Deed.¹³
- 4. The January 27, 1999 letter (Exhibit K) was sent pursuant to the terms of the Deed.

VI. ISSUES OF LAW TO BE DETERMINED.

The following issues of law are to be determined by this Court. Certain issues stated below are included over the objection of one or more of the parties, and each party reserves the right to argue that certain issues are not in question or not properly before this Court in this action. Where there is objection to an issue, it is so noted.

A. Are the issues raised by the Debtors and Smith moot because this Court, at the request of the Debtors and Smith confirmed a sale of the Property pursuant to the Sale

TUC 65111 -7-

Lebcon and WSG propose this fact only in the event that this Court overrules their objection to the fact in Section V.A.1. and determines that such fact is relevant and material. In addition, there is or may be some dispute regarding this fact which could require testimony.

See Note 12 above.

Agreement which was specifically conditioned on and contemplated a waiver or exercise of the right of first refusal and other restrictions contained in the Deed?

- B. Have the Debtors and Smith waived their right to contend that the sale of the Property was free and clear of the right of first refusal because of the provisions of Paragraph 23 of the Purchase Agreement between Smith and the Debtors?
- C. If Smith and the Debtors have waived their right, should the sale be confirmed to Lebcon pursuant to the exercise of its right of first refusal with respect to the Property?
- D. If there was no waiver, is the sale of the Property void because of the failure of the Debtors to provide notice to Lebcon of the Debtors' intent to attempt to sell the Subject Property free and clear of security interests, liens, claims and encumbrances?
- E. Is the sale of the Subject Property, void because of the failure of the Debtors to give notice to Lebcon of the date and time of the hearing in this Court of the sale of the Subject Property to the Smith?
 - F. Is the right of first refusal in the Deed a covenant running with the land?
- G. Can the right of first refusal be considered separately from the other provisions of the restrictions and covenants in the Deed?
- H. Does this Court have the power to sell property free and clear of a covenant running with the land pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §363(f)?
- I. If the right of first refusal is not a covenant running with the land, is it an executory contract?
- J. If the right of first refusal is an executory contract, can it be rejected by the Debtors.
- K. If the right of first refusal is an executory contract rather than a covenant running with the land, is notice and a hearing required by 11 U.S.C. §365 before the right of first refusal can be rejected?
 - L. Whether WSG's use of the right of first refusal is proper.

TUC 65111 -8-

M.

N.

Ο.

Whether Lebcon properly exercised the right of first refusal.

Whether the sale of the Property to WSG or Lebcon may be approved by the

Whether Lebcon may assign its rights under the Sale Agreement to WSG.¹⁴

3

5

6

7

8

4

Court without further notice and hearing pursuant to Bankruptcy Code §363(b).

VII.

LIST OF TRIAL EXHIBITS.

The parties stipulate that the following exhibits may be admitted:

Α.

as grantee.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Limited Warranty Deed dated July 6, 1993, from Lebcon as grantor to Don Poole

B. Limited Warranty Deed dated August 31, 1993 from Don Poole as Grantor and Roasters Corporation as Grantee.

C. Deed dated October 11, 1996 from KRR Nashanooga, Inc. as Grantor to BC Superior, L.L.C. as Grantee.

D. Warranty Deed dated December 6, 1996 from BC Superior, L.L.C. as Grantor to General Electric Capital Corporation as Grantee.

E. Quit Claim Deed dated March 27, 1998 from General Electric Capital Corporation as Grantor to BC Superior, L.L.C. as Grantee.

F. Purchase and Sale Agreement between Boston Chicken, Inc. as Seller and David R. Smith and Becky C. Smith, as Buyer dated March 23, 1999

G. Affidavit of Ronald Gimple with Map of the Hamilton Place Mall Shopping Center attached.

Η. October 11, 1996 letter from Lebcon to Michael Black.

I. April 8, 1998 letter from Lebcon to Jim Hoar.

J. January 4, 1999 letter from Brownstein Hyatt Farber & Strickland to Lebcon.

Lebcon and WSG object to this issue since Lebcon is still the owner and holder of the right of first refusal and what it may do after it acquires the property is irrelevant to this Court's determination of the issues.

-9-TUC 65111

2		L.	April 2	2, 1999 letter from Brownstein Hyatt Barber & Strickland, P.C. to Lebcon.	
3		M.	May 2	1, 1999 letter from Lebcon to Randy Miller and Brownstein.	
4		N.	Aerial	photograph of Hamilton Place Mall Shopping Center (circa 1997).	
5		Ο.	First A	Amendment to Purchase and Sale Agreement dated June 17, 1999.	
6		P.	Fifth M	Notion for Authority to Sell Real Property Outside the Ordinary Course of	
7	Business Free and Clear of Liens dated April 5, 1999.				
8		Q.	Amen	ded Certificate of Service dated April 6, 1999.	
9		R.	Order	on Fifth Motion for Authority to Sell Real Property Outside the Ordinary	
10	Course of Business Free and Clear of Liens (DE 736) dated May 12, 1999.				
11		S.	Letter	Agreement between WSG and Lebcon for acquisition of the Property,	
12	dated May 21, 1999.				
13	VIII. WITNESSES.				
14		Based	d upon	the stipulated facts, the parties do not intend to call any witnesses.	
15	However, the parties reserve the right to call the following witnesses, if necessary, during the				
16	course	of the	trial.		
17		A.	Witne	sses Reserved By Smith.	
18			1.	David R. Smith.	
19			2.	Becky C. Smith.	
20		B.	Witne	sses Reserved By Lebcon and WSG.	
21			1.	Rusty Phillips and/or other representative of Lebcon By Telephone.	
22			2.	Eric Gordon.	
23					
24					
25					

January 27, 1999 letter from Lebcon in response to J. above.

K.

TUC 65111 -10-

26

1	IT IS ORDERED that the foregoing Joint Pretrial Statement is hereby approved.
2	DATED this day of, 1999.
3	
4	
5	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE
6 7	Approved as to Content And Form by:
8	STREICH LANG Professional Association
9	One South Church Avenue, Suite 1700 Tucson, Arizona 85701-1621
10	1 403011, A1120114 03701-1021
11	By <u>/s/ Susan G. Boswell #4791</u>
12	Susan G. Boswell
13 14	Attorneys for WSG Development Company, Inc. and Lebcon Associates
15	John Worth, Esq. 40 N. Central Avenue, Suite 2500 Phoenix, Arizona 85004
16	
17 18	By <u>/s/ John Worth</u> John Worth
19	Attorney for David and Becky Smith
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	111

TUC 65111 -11-

1	The "Notice of Electronic Filing" generated by the Electronic Case
2	Filing system in connection with this pleading served by e-mail this
3	14th day of July, 1999, upon:
4	Randolph J. Haines, Esq. Lewis and Roca LLP
5	40 North Central Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4429
6	Attorneys for Debtors e-mail: rjh@lrlaw.com
7	H. Ray Stroube, III, Esq.
8	Akin Gump Strauss Bauer & Feld, L.L.P. 1900 Pennzoil Place, South Tower
9	711 Louisiana Houston, Texas 77002
10	e-mail: efiler@akingump.com
11	and upon those parties with e-mail addresses listed
12	on the "Master Service List #10 Dated: June 22, 1999" on
13	file with this Court.
14	A copy of the foregoing was also served this 14th
15	day of July, 1999 by U.S. Mail
16	upon those parties listed on the "Master Service List #10
17	Dated: June 22, 1999" on file with this Court.
18	/a/ Anita Hanaan
19	/s/ Anita Hansen
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

TUC 65111 -12-